

**Statement of Basis
Automotive Coating Operations General Permit**

**Permit to Construct P-2019.0007
Project No. 62174**

**Wills Toyota - 3rd Ave
Twin Falls, Idaho**

Facility ID No. 083-00196

Final

**February 25, 2019
Rakael Pope
Permit Writer**



The purpose of this Statement of Basis is to satisfy the requirements of IDAPA 58.01.01. et seq, Rules for the Control of Air Pollution in Idaho, for issuing air permits.

ACRONYMS, UNITS, AND CHEMICAL NOMENCLATURE.....	3
FACILITY INFORMATION.....	4
Description.....	4
Permitting History.....	4
Application Scope.....	4
Application Chronology.....	4
TECHNICAL ANALYSIS.....	4
Emissions Units and Control Devices.....	5
Emissions Inventories.....	5
Ambient Air Quality Impact Analyses.....	8
REGULATORY ANALYSIS.....	8
Attainment Designation (40 CFR 81.313).....	8
Facility Classification AIRS/AFS.....	9
PTC Permit to Construct (IDAPA 58.01.01.201).....	9
Tier II Operating Permit (IDAPA 58.01.01.401).....	10
Visible Emissions (IDAPA 58.01.01.625).....	10
Rules for the Control of Odors (IDAPA 58.01.01.775-776).....	10
Title V Classification (IDAPA 58.01.01.300, 40 CFR Part 70).....	10
PSD Classification (40 CFR 52.21).....	11
NSPS Applicability (40 CFR 60).....	11
NESHAP Applicability (40 CFR 61).....	11
MACT Applicability (40 CFR 63).....	11
Permit Conditions Review.....	13
PUBLIC REVIEW.....	15
Public Comment Opportunity.....	15
Public Comment Period.....	15
APPENDIX A – EMISSIONS INVENTORIES	
APPENDIX B – Processing FEE	

ACRONYMS, UNITS, AND CHEMICAL NOMENCLATURE

AQCR	Air Quality Control Region
Btu	British thermal units
CAS No.	Chemical Abstracts Service registry number
CE	Control Efficiency
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CO	carbon monoxide
DEQ	Department of Environmental Quality
EL	screening emission levels
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
gal/day	gallons per calendar day
gal/hr	gallons per hour
gal/yr	gallons per consecutive 12 calendar month period
gr	grain (1 lb = 7,000 grains)
HAP	hazardous air pollutants
hr/yr	hours per year
HVLP	high volume, low pressure (applies to paint guns)
IDAPA	a numbering designation for all administrative rules in Idaho promulgated in accordance with the Idaho Administrative Procedures Act
lb/gal	pounds per gallon
lb/hr	pounds per hour
LPG	Liquefied Petroleum Gas
MMBtu	million British thermal units
NAICS	North American Industry Classification System
NESHAP	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
NO ₂	nitrogen dioxide
NO _x	nitrogen oxides
NSPS	New Source Performance Standards
PC	permit condition
PM _{2.5}	particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 2.5 micrometers
PM ₁₀	particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers
ppm	parts per million
PTC	permit to construct
PTE	potential to emit
Rules	Rules for the Control of Air Pollution in Idaho
scf	standard cubic feet
SDS	Safety Data Sheet
SIC	Standard Industrial Classification
SM80	synthetic minor facility with emissions greater than or equal to 80% of a major source threshold
SO ₂	sulfur dioxide
SO _x	sulfur oxides
T/yr	tons per consecutive 12-calendar month period
T2	Tier II operating permit
TAP	toxic air pollutants
TE	Transfer Efficiency
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator
VOC	volatile organic compounds

FACILITY INFORMATION

Description

Wills Toyota – 3rd Ave is an auto body repair and refinishing facility with a paint spray booth which is equipped with a paint booth heater. The paint booth is a semi-downdraft booth with dry fiber filtration media for control of particulate emissions. Drying and paint curing is done in the paint booth. The booth is equipped with a natural gas-fired burner to heat the paint booth. The process includes application of coatings via a HVLP (or equivalent) paint gun. In this case “or equivalent” means a paint gun that has a minimum 65% transfer efficiency as documented by the spray gun manufacturer.

Permitting History

This is the initial PTC for an existing facility that was constructed in May 2015, thus there is no permitting history.

Application Scope

This is the initial PTC for an existing facility that was constructed in May 2015.

Application Chronology

February 1, 2019	DEQ received an application and an application fee and the processing fee.
February 5 – through February 20, 2019	DEQ provided an opportunity to request a public comment period on the application and proposed permitting action.
February 7, 2019	DEQ determined that the application was complete.
February 7, 2019	DEQ made available the draft permit and statement of basis for peer review.
February 15, 2019	DEQ received the permit processing fee.
February 25, 2019	DEQ issued the final permit and statement of basis.

TECHNICAL ANALYSIS

The facility utilizes dry fiber filtration media for control of particulate matter emissions from the automotive coating operation. In addition, HVLP paint guns (or equivalent) are used to minimize particulate matter and VOC emissions from painting. The HVLP (or equivalent) spray equipment will control all particulate matter and VOC emissions by having more paint transfer to the desired surfaces than traditional painting equipment.

Emissions Units and Control Devices

Table 1 EMISSIONS UNIT AND CONTROL DEVICE INFORMATION

ID No.	Source Description	Control Equipment Description	Emissions Point ID No. and Description
Automotive Coating Operation	<p><u>Paint spray booth(s) and/or preparation station:</u> Manufacturer(s): Spray Tech or equivalent Model(s): ST91424SDDT or equivalent Note: The number of booths installed at the facility is not limited by this permit.</p> <p><u>Paint booth heater(s):</u> Manufacturer(s): Mercury or equivalent Model(s): M1 or equivalent Total Heat input capacity(s): 10.0 MMBtu/hr Fuel: natural gas only</p>	<p><u>Paint spray booth(s) and/or preparation station filter system:</u> Booth Type(s): Semi-down draft Particulate filtration method: Dry Filters Manufacturer(s): Viledon or equivalent Model(s): 500 Series or equivalent PM/PM₁₀ Control Efficiency: 98% or greater</p> <p><u>Coating spray gun(s):</u> Manufacturer(s): SATA or equivalent Model(s): Jet 5000B or equivalent Type: HVLP or equivalent Transfer Efficiency: 65% or greater</p> <p><u>Coating spray gun(s):</u> Manufacturer(s): Devilbiss or equivalent Model(s): Finishline FIG4 or equivalent Type: HVLP or equivalent Transfer Efficiency: 65% or greater</p> <p><u>Coating spray gun(s):</u> Manufacturer(s): Iwata or equivalent Model(s): WS 400 EVO or equivalent Type: HVLP or equivalent Transfer Efficiency: 65% or greater</p>	Paint booth exhaust stack and/or preparation station exhaust stack

Emissions Inventories

Potential to Emit

IDAPA 58.01.01.006 defines Potential to Emit as the maximum capacity of a facility or stationary source to emit an air pollutant under its physical and operational design. Any physical or operational limitation on the capacity of the facility or source to emit an air pollutant, including air pollution control equipment and restrictions on hours of operation or on the type or amount of material combusted, stored or processed, shall be treated as part of its design if the limitation or the effect it would have on emissions is state or federally enforceable. Secondary emissions do not count in determining the potential to emit of a facility or stationary source.

Using this definition of Potential to Emit an emission inventory was developed for the automotive coating operation associated with this proposed project (see Appendix A for detailed potential to emit calculations). Criteria pollutant and HAPs PTE were based on the worst-case VOC, particulate matter, and HAPs content for coatings as taken from the DEQ Automotive Coating EI spreadsheet (see the DEQ website).

Uncontrolled Potential to Emit

Using the definition of Potential to Emit, uncontrolled Potential to Emit is then defined as the maximum capacity of a facility or stationary source to emit an air pollutant under its physical and operational design. Any physical or operational limitation on the capacity of the facility or source to emit an air pollutant, including air pollution control equipment and restrictions on hours of operation or on the type or amount of material combusted, stored or processed, shall **not** be treated as part of its design **since** the limitation or the effect it would have on emissions **is not** state or federally enforceable.

The uncontrolled Potential to Emit is used to determine if a facility is a “Synthetic Minor” source of emissions. Synthetic Minor sources are facilities that have an uncontrolled Potential to Emit for criteria pollutants or HAPs above the applicable Major Source threshold without permit limits.

The following table presents the uncontrolled Potential to Emit for criteria pollutants as determined by DEQ staff. See Appendix A for a detailed presentation of the calculations and the assumptions used to determine emissions for each emissions unit. For this automotive coating operation uncontrolled Potential to Emit is based upon a worst-case for operation of the facility of 2,080 hrs/yr (8 hrs/day x 260 days/yr) with all coating operations occurring during this time. Since there is prep time (the time spent preparing the automobile for the application of coating) and paint drying time (the time the automobile spends in the booth with the burner operating to facilitate hardening of the coating) associated with applying coatings, this was considered to be the worst-case maximum for which emissions would occur.

Table 2 UNCONTROLLED POTENTIAL TO EMIT FOR CRITERIA POLLUTANTS

Emissions Unit	PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5}	SO ₂	NO _x	CO	VOC	Lead
	T/yr	T/yr	T/yr	T/yr	T/yr	lb/quarter
Point Sources						
Paint spray booth(s) and/or preparation station(s)	3.74	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.24	0.0
Paint booth heater(s)	0.079	0.03	1.77	0.88	0.06	0.0120
Total, Point Sources	3.82	0.03	1.77	0.88	12.30	0.01

The following table presents the uncontrolled Potential to Emit for HAP pollutants as determined by DEQ staff. The table only lists those individual HAPs that are emitted in the greatest quantities; see Appendix A for a complete listing of all HAPs emitted. For this automotive coating operation uncontrolled HAP emissions were calculated by using the DEQ Automotive Coating EI spreadsheet (see the DEQ website) and setting paint use to 4.0 gallons per day (as limited by the permit). Then, the worst-case maximum HAPs Potential to Emit was determined for all paints listed in the spreadsheet. As discussed previously, HAP emissions were assumed to occur during the worst-case for operation of the facility of 2,080 hrs/yr.

Table 3 UNCONTROLLED POTENTIAL TO EMIT FOR HAPs^(a)

HAP Pollutants	PTE (T/yr)
Ethyl benzene	0.61
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone (MIBK)	1.26
Naphthalene	2.34
Toluene	1.92
Styrene	2.51
Xylene (o-, m-, p-isomers)	2.22
Total	10.86

a) The table does not list all individual HAPs, however the total PTE value reflects all HAPs.

Pre-Project Potential to Emit

Pre-project Potential to Emit is used to establish the change in emissions at a facility as a result of this project.

This is an existing facility. However, since this is the first time the facility is receiving a permit, pre-project emissions are set to zero for all criteria pollutants.

Post Project Potential to Emit

Post project Potential to Emit is used to establish the change in emissions at a facility and to determine the facility’s classification as a result of this project. Post project Potential to Emit includes all permit limits resulting from this project.

The following table presents the post project Potential to Emit for criteria pollutants from all emissions units at the facility as determined by DEQ staff. See Appendix A for a detailed presentation of the calculations of these emissions for each emissions unit.

Table 4 POST PROJECT POTENTIAL TO EMIT FOR CRITERIA POLLUTANTS

Emissions Unit	PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5}		SO ₂		NO _x		CO		VOC		Lead	
	lb/hr ^(a)	T/yr ^(b)	lb/hr ^(a)	T/yr ^(b)	lb/hr ^(a)	T/yr ^(b)	lb/hr ^(a)	T/yr ^(b)	lb/hr ^(a)	T/yr ^(b)	lb/hr ^(a)	T/yr ^(b)
Point Sources												
Paint spray booth(s) and/or preparation station(s)	0.02	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.79	12.24	0	0
Paint booth heater(s)	0.08	0.08	0.01	0.03	1.70	1.77	0.84	0.88	0.06	0.06	0.000005	0.000006
Post-Project Totals	0.10	0.15	0.01	0.03	1.70	1.77	0.84	0.88	2.85	12.30	0.000005	0.000006

- a) Controlled average emission rate in pounds per hour is a daily average, based on the proposed daily operating schedule and daily limits.
- b) Controlled average emission rate in tons per year is an annual average, based on the proposed annual operating schedule and annual limits.

The following table presents the post project Potential to Emit for HAP pollutants from all emissions units at the facility as determined by DEQ staff. The table only lists those individual HAPs that are emitted in the greatest quantities; see Appendix A for a complete listing of all HAPs.

Table 5 POST PROJECT POTENTIAL TO EMIT FOR HAPs^(a)

HAP Pollutants	PTE (T/yr)
Ethyl benzene	0.61
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone (MIBK)	1.26
Naphthalene	2.34
Toluene	1.92
Styrene	2.51
Xylene (o-, m-, p-isomers)	2.22
Total	10.86

- a) The table does not list all individual HAPs, however the total PTE value reflects all HAPs.

Change in Potential to Emit

The project’s change in Potential to Emit is used to determine if a public comment period may be required or if emissions modeling may be required, and to determine the processing fee per IDAPA 58.01.01.225.

The following table presents the change in the Potential to Emit for criteria pollutants as a result of this project.

Table 6 CHANGES IN POTENTIAL TO EMIT FOR CRITERIA POLLUTANTS

	PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5}		SO ₂		NO _x		CO		VOC		Lead	
	lb/hr	T/yr	lb/hr	T/yr	lb/hr	T/yr	lb/hr	T/yr	lb/hr	T/yr	lb/hr	T/yr
Point Sources												
Pre-Project Potential to Emit	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Post Project Potential to Emit	0.09	0.15	0.01	0.03	1.70	1.77	0.84	0.88	2.85	12.30	0.00	0.00
Changes in Potential to Emit	0.09	0.15	0.01	0.03	1.70	1.77	0.84	0.88	2.85	12.30	0.00	0.00

Non-Carcinogenic and Carcinogenic TAPs Potential to Emit

Because of the daily coating material use limits imposed by DEQ, and agreed to by the facility in applying for this Automotive Coating “General Permit”, no ELs specified in IDAPA 58.01.01.585 or 586 are expected to be exceeded by the facility (see the DEQ Automotive Coating EI spreadsheet on the DEQ website).

Ambient Air Quality Impact Analyses

Because of the daily coating material use limits imposed by DEQ, and agreed to by the facility in applying for this Automotive Coating “General Permit”, it needs to be determined if the PTE for the automotive coating operation exceeds the DEQ modeling guideline thresholds. The following table compares the post-project facility-wide annual emissions to the DEQ modeling guideline thresholds (per the State of Idaho Air Quality Modeling Guideline, September 2013).

Table 7 PTE FOR CRITERIA POLLUTANTS COMPARED TO THE DEQ MODELING GUIDELINE THRESHOLDS

Pollutant	PTE (T/yr)	DEQ Modeling Guideline Thresholds (T/yr)	Exceeds Modeling Guideline Threshold?
PM ₁₀	0.15	1.5	No
PM _{2.5}	0.15	1.0	No
SO ₂	0.03	4.0	No
NO _x	1.77	4.0	No
CO	0.88	10.0	No
Lead	0.00	0.06	No

Therefore, the installation of the new automotive coating operation does not require criteria pollutant modeling.

As presented previously in the DEQ Automotive Coatings EI Spreadsheet (see the DEQ website) there are no TAPs that required facility modeling for exceeding the pounds per hour screening levels provided in IDAPA 58.01.01.585 and .586. Therefore, the installation of a new automotive coating operation does not require TAPs modeling.

REGULATORY ANALYSIS

Attainment Designation (40 CFR 81.313)

Wills Toyota – 3rd Ave is located in Twin Falls County, which is designated as attainment or unclassifiable for PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, SO₂, NO₂, CO, and Ozone. Refer to 40 CFR 81.313 for additional information.

Facility Classification AIRS/AFS

As demonstrated in Table 2 the facility has an uncontrolled potential to emit for PM₁₀, SO₂, NO_x, CO, and VOC emissions are less than the Major Source thresholds of 100 T/yr for each pollutant. In addition, as demonstrated in Table 3 the facility has an uncontrolled potential for each HAP less than the Major Source threshold of 10 T/yr and for all HAPs combined less than the Major Source threshold of 25 T/yr. Therefore, this facility is classified as a natural minor source and is classified as a “B” source.

PTC Permit to Construct (IDAPA 58.01.01.201)

IDAPA 58.01.01.201 Permit to Construct Required

The PTC rules under IDAPA 58.01.01.201 require that “No owner or operator may commence construction or modification of any stationary source, facility, major facility, or major modification without first obtaining a permit to construct from the Department which satisfies the requirements of Sections 200 through 228 unless the source is exempted in any of Sections 220 through 223.” Therefore, DEQ staff analyzed the data from the permit application for the operation of this automotive coating facility to determine if it is exempt from obtaining a PTC according to Sections 220 through 223.

IDAPA 58.01.01.220 General Exemption Criteria for Permit to Construct Exemptions

In accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.220.01.a, the maximum capacity of the source to emit an air pollutant under its physical and operational design without consideration of limitations on emissions such as air pollution control equipment, restrictions on hours of operation and restrictions on the type and amount of material combusted, stored, or processed shall not equal or exceed 100 tons/yr for all regulated air pollutants. As previously presented in Table 2, the proposed project results in uncontrolled potential emissions of less than 100 tons/yr for all regulated air pollutants. Therefore, the project meets the criteria set forth in Section 220 and may be exempt from PTC requirements. In addition, the criteria set forth in Section 221, 222, or 223 must be met to be exempt from PTC requirements.

IDAPA 58.01.01.221 Category I Exemption Criteria

In accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.221.01, the maximum capacity of a source to emit an air pollutant under its physical and operational design considering limitations on emissions such as air pollution control equipment, restrictions on hours of operation and restrictions on the type and amount of material combusted, stored or processed shall be less than ten percent (10%) of the significant emission rates set out in the definition of significant at Section 006. The following table compares the post project facility-wide annual PTE to 10% of the significance threshold listed in IDAPA 58.01.01.006 in order to determine if the project may qualify for a Category I exemption.

Table 8 PTE FOR CRITERIA POLLUTANTS COMPARED TO THE SIGNIFICANCE THRESHOLDS

Pollutant	PTE (T/yr)	10% of the Significance Threshold (T/yr)	Exceeds 10% of the Significance Threshold?
PM ₁₀	0.15	1.5	No
PM _{2.5}	0.15	1.0	No
SO ₂	0.03	4.0	No
NO _x	1.77	4.0	No
CO	0.88	10.0	No
VOC	12.30	4.0	Yes

The potential VOC emission rate of the proposed project is indicated in Table 10 above, which is above 10% of the significant emission rate listed in IDAPA 58.01.01.006. Therefore, the permitting of an existing automotive coating operation does not qualify for a Category I exemption.

Tier II Operating Permit (IDAPA 58.01.01.401)

IDAPA 58.01.01.401 Tier II Operating Permit

The application was submitted for a permit to construct (refer to the Permit to Construct section), and an optional Tier II operating permit has not been requested. Therefore, the procedures of IDAPA 58.01.01.400–410 were not applicable to this permitting action.

Visible Emissions (IDAPA 58.01.01.625)

IDAPA 58.01.01.625 Visible Emissions

The emissions from the automotive coating process are subject to the State of Idaho visible emissions standard of 20% opacity. This requirement is assured by Permit Condition 6.

Rules for the Control of Odors (IDAPA 58.01.01.775-776)

IDAPA 58.01.01.775-776 Rules for the Control of Odors

The facility is subject to the general restrictions for the control of odors from the facility. This requirement is assured by Permit Conditions 7 and 12.

Title V Classification (IDAPA 58.01.01.300, 40 CFR Part 70)

IDAPA 58.01.01.301 Requirement to Obtain Tier I Operating Permit

IDAPA 58.01.01.006 defines a Tier I source as “Any source located at a major facility as defined in Section 008.” IDAPA 58.01.01.008 defines a Major Facility as either:

- For HAPS a facility with the potential to emit ten (10) tons per year (T/yr) or more of any hazardous air pollutant, other than radionuclides, or
- The facility emits or has the potential to emit twenty-five (25) T/yr or more of any combination of any hazardous air pollutants, other than radionuclides.

Or, for non-attainment areas:

- The facility is located in a “serious” particulate matter (PM₁₀) nonattainment area and the facility has the potential to emit seventy (70) T/yr or more of PM₁₀, or
- The facility is located in a “serious” carbon monoxide nonattainment area in which stationary sources are significant contributors to carbon monoxide levels and the facility has the potential to emit fifty (50) T/yr or more of carbon monoxide, or
- The facility is located in an ozone transport region established pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 7511c and the facility has the potential to emit fifty (50) T/yr or more of volatile organic compounds, or
- The facility is located in an ozone nonattainment area and, depending upon the classification of the nonattainment area, the facility has the potential to emit the following amounts of volatile organic compounds or oxides of nitrogen; provided that oxides of nitrogen shall not be included if the facility has been identified in accordance with 42 U.S.C. Section 7411a(f)(1) or (2) if the area is “marginal” or “moderate,” one hundred (100) T/yr or more, if the area is “serious,” fifty (50) T/yr or more, if the area is “severe,” twenty-five (25) T/yr or more, and if the area is “extreme,” ten (10) T/yr or more.
- The facility emits or has the potential to emit one hundred (100) T/yr or more of any regulated air pollutant. The fugitive emissions shall not be considered in determining whether the facility is major unless the facility is a “Designated Facility.”

§ 63.11176 What reports must I submit?

In accordance with §63.11176(a), because the permittee is an owner or operator of a paint stripping, motor vehicle or mobile equipment, or miscellaneous surface coating affected source, the permittee is required to submit a report in each calendar year in which information previously submitted in either the initial notification required by §63.11175(a), Notification of Compliance, or a previous annual notification of changes report submitted under this paragraph, has changed. Deviations from the relevant requirements in §63.11173(a) through (d) or §63.11173(e) through (g) on the date of the report will be deemed to be a change. The annual notification of changes report must be submitted prior to March 1 of each calendar year when reportable changes have occurred and must include the information specified in paragraphs (a)(1) through (2) of this section.

Compliance with these requirements is assured by permit condition 19.

Because the facility has not proposed to conduct paint stripping operations, the MeCl minimization plan requirements are not applicable (see permit condition 9).

§ 63.11177 What records must I keep?

In accordance with §63.11177, because the permittee is the owner or operator of a surface coating operation, the permittee must keep the records specified in paragraphs (a) through (d) and (g) of this section. Because the permittee has not proposed to conduct paint stripping operations, the requirements of paragraphs (e) and (f) of this section are not applicable. Compliance with these requirements is assured by permit condition 17.

§ 63.11178 In what form and for how long must I keep my records?

In accordance with 40 CFR 63.11178(a) because the permittee is the owner or operator of an affected source, the permittee must maintain copies of the records specified in §63.11177 for a period of at least five years after the date of each record. Copies of records must be kept on site and in a printed or electronic form that is readily accessible for inspection for at least the first two years after their date, and may be kept off-site after that two year period. Compliance with these requirements is assured by permit condition 17.

§ 63.11179 Who implements and enforces this subpart?

In accordance with §63.11179(a), this subpart can be implemented and enforced by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), or a delegated authority. At the time of this permitting action, the EPA has not delegated authority to the State of Idaho. However, IDAPA 58.01.01.107.03.i incorporates by reference all Federal Clean Air Act requirements including 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHHHHH. Therefore, the requirements of this subpart have been placed in the permit.

§ 63.11180 What definitions do I need to know?

Terms used in this subpart are defined in accordance with §63.11180.

Permit Conditions Review

This section describes the permit conditions for this initial permit or only those permit conditions that have been added, revised, modified or deleted as a result of this permitting action.

Permit condition 1 establishes the permit to construct scope.

Permit condition 2 provides a description of the purpose of the permit and the regulated sources, the process, and the control devices used at the facility.

Permit condition 3 provides a process description of the facility.

Permit condition 4 provides a description of the control devices used at the facility.

Permit condition 5 establishes hourly and annual emissions limits for PM₁₀ and VOC emissions from the automotive coating operation.

As mentioned previously, permit condition 6 establishes a 20% opacity limit for the paint booth stacks, vents, or functionally equivalent openings associated with the automotive coating operation.

As mentioned previously, permit condition 7 establishes that the permittee shall not allow, suffer, cause, or permit the emission of odorous gasses, liquids, or solids to the atmosphere in such quantities as to cause air pollution.

Permit condition 8 establishes that only natural gas or LPG is allowed to be used as fuel in the paint booth heater as proposed by the applicant.

Permit condition 9 establishes that the facility will not use MeCl to remove paint from vehicles at the facility. This was done because MeCl was not proposed to be used at this facility by the Applicant and the emissions were not included in the DEQ Automotive Coating EI Spreadsheet (see the DEQ website). In addition, Subpart HHHHHH has additional requirements for facilities that use MeCl to remove paint as mentioned previously in the discussion of Subpart HHHHHH in the MACT Applicability Section.

Permit condition 10 establishes a daily use limit for all coating materials used in the automotive coating process as proposed by the Applicant. This limit was established because it was the easiest way for the Applicant to demonstrate compliance with the PM₁₀ and VOC emissions limit specified in permit condition 5 and the TAPs emissions limits specified in the DEQ Automotive Coating EI Spreadsheet (see the DEQ website).

Permit condition 11 establishes that the permittee conduct all automotive coating operations in the paint booth or preparation station with the filters in place, exhaust fan(s) operating, and door(s) or curtain(s) closed, that the operation shall use a HVLP spray gun, and that the permittee shall maintain and operate the paint booth and preparation station exhaust filter system in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications. This condition also defines what a booth and preparation station used for applying coating is.

Permit condition 12 establishes that the permittee shall maintain records of all odor complaints received, perform appropriate corrective actions, and maintain records of corrective actions taken at the facility for the automotive coating process. This was required because automotive operation operations are expected to have odors that might be offensive to their immediate neighbors.

Permit condition 13 establishes that the permittee shall maintain material purchase records and Safety Data Sheets (SDSs) for the automotive coating process. This condition was placed in the permit to ensure compliance with the Coating Materials Use Limit Permit Condition.

Permit condition 14 establishes that the permittee shall maintain daily usage records of pre-treatment wash primer, primer, topcoat, clear coat, and thinner/reducer materials used for the automotive coating process. This condition was placed in the permit to ensure compliance with the Coating Materials Use Limit permit condition.

Permit condition 15 establishes that the permittee shall maintain records as required by the General Provision recordkeeping requirements.

Permit condition 16 establishes parameters that will allow the facility to comply with the general operating requirements of 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHHHHH – MACT Standards and Management Practices for Paint Stripping and Miscellaneous Coating Operations unless the facility is exempt from HHHHHH.

Permit condition 17 establishes parameters that will allow the facility to comply with the monitoring and recordkeeping requirements of 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHHHHH – MACT Standards and Management Practices for Paint Stripping and Miscellaneous Coating Operations unless the facility is exempt from HHHHHH.

Permit condition 18 establishes parameters that will allow the facility to comply with the initial notification and reporting requirements of 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHHHHH – MACT Standards and Management Practices for Paint Stripping and Miscellaneous Coating Operations unless the facility is exempt from HHHHHH.

Permit condition 19 establishes parameters that will allow the facility to comply with the annual notification and reporting requirements of 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHHHHH – MACT Standards and Management Practices for Paint Stripping and Miscellaneous Coating Operations unless the facility is exempt from HHHHHH.

Permit condition 20 establishes that the federal requirements of 40 CFR Part 63 are incorporated by reference into the requirements of this permit per current DEQ guidance.

PUBLIC REVIEW

Public Comment Opportunity

An opportunity for public comment period on the application was provided in accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.209.01.c or IDAPA 58.01.01.404.01.c. During this time, there was not a request for a public comment period on DEQ's proposed action. Refer to the chronology for public comment opportunity dates.

APPENDIX A – EMISSIONS INVENTORIES

Facility Data Input:

- Exempt? No
- Fuel type natural gas
- Heaters single/maximum
- Maximum gas-fired heater size 10.00 MMBtu/hr (total heat input of all gas-fired)
- Maximum oil-fired heater size 0.00 MMBtu/hr (total heat input of all oil-fired)
- Daily coating 4.00 gal/day
- Bed lining No
- Safety factor 1.20 (applied to TAP)
- Natural gas gross heating value 1,000 MMBtu/MMscf

General PTC Emission Inventories for Automotive Coating Operations
 maximum emission estimates of all coatings analyzed and including booth heater emissions

Criteria Air Pollutants	Booth Emissions	Heater Emissions	Combined Emissions	Booth Emissions	Heater Emissions	Combined Emissions	BRC Threshold		Below Threshold?
	lb/hr	lb/hr	lb/hr	T/yr	T/yr	T/yr		T/yr	
NO _x	0.000	1.700	1.700	0.00	1.77	1.77	4	T/yr	Yes
CO	0.000	0.840	0.840	0.00	0.88	0.88	10	T/yr	Yes
PM _{2.5} /PM ₁₀	0.0171	0.0760	0.0931	0.0749	0.0791	0.1540	1	T/yr	Yes
SO ₂	0.000	0.006	0.006	0.00	0.03	0.03	4	T/yr	Yes
VOC	2.794	0.095	2.889	12.24	0.06	12.30	4	T/yr	No
	0 E-06	5 E-06	5 E-06	0 E+00	8 E-08	8 E-06	3.00	T/yr	Yes
Lead	0.000	0.004	0.004						
PM _{2.5} / PM ₁₀ (uncatalyzed)	0.8550	0.0760	0.9310	3.7449	0.08	3.8240			

Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAP) and Toxic Air Pollutants (TAP)	Booth Emissions	Heater Emissions	Combined Emissions	Combined Emissions	Modeling Threshold	Below Threshold?
	lb/hr	lb/hr	lb/hr	T/yr	EL (lb/yr)	
Organic HAP PAH						
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.00E+00	3.75E-08	5.70E-08	5.93E-08	3.10E-05	Yes
3-Methylfluoranthene	0.00E+00	4.37E-09	4.27E-09	4.44E-09	2.90E-06	Yes
Acenaphthylene	0.00E+00	4.23E-09	4.27E-09	4.44E-09	9.10E-05	Yes
Acenaphthene	0.00E+00	4.37E-09	4.27E-09	4.44E-09	3.10E-03	Yes
Anthracene	0.00E+00	5.70E-09	5.70E-09	5.93E-09	9.10E-05	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.00E+00	4.27E-09	4.27E-09	4.44E-09	9.10E-05	See POM
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.00E+00	2.85E-09	2.85E-09	2.98E-09	2.00E-06	See POM
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.00E+00	4.27E-09	4.27E-09	4.44E-09	4.44E-09	See POM
Benzo(a,h)perylene	0.00E+00	2.85E-09	2.85E-09	2.98E-09	9.10E-03	Yes
Benzo(M)fluoranthene	0.00E+00	4.27E-09	4.27E-09	4.44E-09	4.44E-09	See POM
Chrysene	0.00E+00	4.27E-09	4.27E-09	4.44E-09	4.44E-09	See POM
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	0.00E+00	2.85E-09	2.85E-09	2.98E-09	2.98E-09	See POM
7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene	0.00E+00	1.65E-07	1.65E-07	1.65E-07	3.10E-05	Yes
Fluorene	0.00E+00	7.12E-09	7.12E-09	7.41E-09	9.10E-05	Yes
Fluoranthene	0.00E+00	6.65E-09	6.65E-09	6.91E-09	9.10E-05	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)perylene	0.00E+00	4.27E-09	4.27E-09	4.44E-09	4.44E-09	See POM
Isoquinoline	3.34E-01	3.34E-05	3.34E-01	2.34E-00	3.34E+00	Yes
Phenanthrene	0.00E+00	4.04E-08	4.04E-08	4.20E-08	3.93E-05	Yes
Pyrene	0.00E+00	1.19E-08	1.19E-08	1.22E-08	9.10E-05	Yes
Polycyclic Organic Matter (POM, 7-PAH)	0.00E+00	2.71E-08	2.71E-08	2.82E-08	2.71E-08	Yes
Organic HAP Non-PAH						
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.00E+00	2.85E-06	2.85E-06	2.98E-06	3.00E+01	Yes
Ethyl Benzene	1.40E-01	0.00E+00	1.40E-01	8.14E-01	2.85E+01	Yes
Hexamethylbenzene	2.00E-03	0.00E+00	2.00E-03	8.74E-03	2.00E+00	Yes
n-Heptane	4.60E-01	0.00E+00	4.60E-01	1.77E+00	1.30E+01	Yes
Methanol	3.72E-02	0.00E+00	3.72E-02	1.63E-01	1.73E+01	Yes
1-Methoxy-2-Propanol Acetate	3.21E-01	0.00E+00	3.21E-01	1.41E+00	3.40E+01	Yes
Methyl Chloroform	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.27E+02	Yes
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	2.87E-01	0.00E+00	2.87E-01	1.28E+00	1.37E+01	Yes
Methyl Methacrylate	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	2.73E+01	Yes
Phenol	0.60E+00	0.00E+00	0.60E+00	0.60E+00	1.27E+00	Yes
Styrene	5.72E-01	0.00E+00	5.72E-01	2.51E+00	8.67E+00	Yes
Toluene	4.38E-01	3.40E-05	4.38E-01	1.97E+00	3.55E+01	Yes
Xylene	5.07E-01	0.00E+00	5.07E-01	2.22E+00	2.95E+01	Yes
Organic Non-HAP						
Acetone	5.58E-01	0.00E+00	5.58E-01	2.44E+00	1.15E+02	Yes
n-Butyl Acetate	1.86E-02	0.00E+00	1.86E-02	7.28E-02	3.33E+01	Yes
n-Butyl Methacrylate	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	8.00E+00	Yes
Butyl Acetate	1.19E+00	0.00E+00	1.19E+00	5.21E+00	4.72E+00	Yes
Carbon Black	8.33E-04	0.00E+00	8.33E-04	3.63E-03	3.30E-01	Yes
Cyclohexane	1.28E-02	0.00E+00	1.28E-02	5.64E-02	7.00E+01	Yes
Cyclohexanone	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	8.97E+00	Yes
Diphenyl Phosphate	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	3.73E+01	Yes
Dimethyl Phosphate	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	3.33E+01	Yes
Ethyl Alcohol	6.66E-02	0.00E+00	6.66E-02	2.92E-01	1.23E+02	Yes
Heptane	9.30E-02	0.00E+00	9.30E-02	4.07E-01	1.00E+02	Yes
Isobutanol	3.55E-01	0.00E+00	3.55E-01	1.58E+00	1.00E+01	Yes
Isobutyl Acetate	7.33E-02	0.00E+00	7.33E-02	3.21E-01	4.61E+01	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	3.55E-01	0.00E+00	3.55E-01	1.58E+00	1.00E+01	Yes
Isophorone Diisocyanate	2.50E-03	0.00E+00	2.50E-03	1.10E-02	8.00E-03	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol (IPA)	6.63E-01	0.00E+00	6.63E-01	2.81E+00	6.63E+01	Yes
Isopropyl Acetate	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	8.93E+01	Yes
Methyl Acetate	3.32E-01	0.00E+00	3.32E-01	1.45E+00	4.07E+01	Yes
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	2.78E-01	0.00E+00	2.78E-01	1.21E+00	3.93E+01	Yes
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	1.02E-01	0.00E+00	1.02E-01	4.49E-01	1.60E+01	Yes
Methyl Isobutyl Cellulose	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	8.93E+02	Yes
Methyl Propyl Ketone	1.88E-01	0.00E+00	1.88E-01	8.90E-01	4.67E+01	Yes
Phosphoric Acid	1.81E-02	0.00E+00	1.81E-02	8.61E-02	3.00E+00	Yes
Phosyl Acetate	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	3.60E+01	Yes
Phosyl Alcohol	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	3.33E+01	Yes
Trimethyl Benzene	1.88E-01	0.00E+00	1.88E-01	8.24E-01	8.20E+02	Yes
Metal HAP						
Antimony	1.66E-03	0.00E+00	1.66E-03	8.17E-03	3.30E-02	Yes
Arsenic	0.00E+00	4.75E-07	4.75E-07	4.84E-07	1.50E-06	Yes
Beryllium	0.00E+00	2.89E-08	2.89E-08	2.96E-08	7.80E-05	Yes
Calcium	0.00E+00	2.81E-08	2.81E-08	2.72E-08	3.70E-08	Yes
Chromium	5.63E-04	1.40E-05	5.77E-04	2.48E-03	3.30E-02	Yes
Cobalt	0.00E+00	8.40E-07	8.40E-07	8.74E-07	3.30E-03	Yes
Copper	0.00E+00	8.30E-06	8.30E-06	8.64E-06	1.30E-02	Yes
Iron Oxide Fume	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	3.33E-01	Yes
Manganese	0.00E+00	3.80E-06	3.80E-06	3.95E-06	3.33E-01	Yes
Nickel	0.00E+00	4.99E-06	4.99E-06	5.19E-06	2.70E-05	Yes
Vanadium	0.00E+00	2.30E-05	2.30E-05	2.39E-05	3.00E-03	Yes
Metal Non-HAP						
Aluminum - Metal & Oxide	2.26E-02	0.00E+00	2.26E-02	1.43E-02	8.67E-01	Yes
Aluminum - Soluble Salts	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.30E-03	Yes
Barium	2.17E-04	4.40E-05	2.61E-04	9.96E-04	3.30E-02	Yes
Molybdenum	0.00E+00	1.10E-05	1.10E-05	1.14E-05	3.33E-01	Yes
Inorganic HAP						
Fluorine	0.00E+00	2.40E-07	2.40E-07	2.50E-07	1.30E-02	Yes
Inorganic Non-HAP						
Calcium Carbonate	1.30E-03	0.00E+00	1.30E-03	5.70E-03	6.67E-01	Yes
Kaolin	3.93E-03	0.00E+00	3.93E-03	1.72E-02	1.33E-01	Yes
Magnesium	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	4.83E-01	Yes
Mica	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	2.00E-01	Yes
Silica - Amorphous	1.87E-03	0.00E+00	1.87E-03	7.30E-03	8.67E-01	Yes
Silica - Crystalline Chabazite	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	2.20E-03	Yes
Silica - Crystalline Quartz	2.35E-03	0.00E+00	2.35E-03	1.03E-02	6.70E-03	Yes
Zinc and Zinc Oxide Dust	0.00E+00	2.80E-04	2.80E-04	3.02E-04	8.87E-03	Yes
Summary						
HAP _{TOT}				2.727	11.95	
HAP _{ORG}				0.574	2.53	

TAP EL Modeling Threshold Multiple

100% Level II / Level III

Assumptions when estimating spray booth heater emissions:

- Maximum gas feed heater size: 10.00 MMBtu/hr
- Maximum oil fired heater size: 0.00 MMBtu/hr
- Annual heater operation: 2080 hr/yr
- Natural gas heat content: 1,000 MMBtu/MMscf
- Natural gas sulfur content: 15 ar/100 ft³ sulfur weight content
- Fuel type: natural gas only
- Heaters: single/maximum

Assumptions when estimating spray booth emissions:

- Maximum coating use rates: 4.00 gal/day for all coatings (excluding "B" component)
- Averaging period: 24 hr/day average
- Annual booth operation: 8,760 hr/yr
- Safety factor: 1.20 allowance for coatings not analyzed
- Transfer efficiency: 65% control for particulates
- Filter removal efficiency: 98% control for particulates
- Isocyanate reaction factor: 85% control for isocyanates (not applied to MDI)
- Maximum coating density: 16.76 lb/gal
- % of monomer in mixture: 1% for diisocyanates in hardener mixture
- % of monomer in mixture: 1% for diisocyanates in hardener mixture
- If no % of TAP was listed in the MSDS, then 1.0% was assumed

APPENDIX B – PROCESSING FEE

PTC Processing Fee Calculation Worksheet

Instructions:

Fill in the following information and answer the following questions with a Y or N. Enter the emissions increases and decreases for each pollutant in the table.

Company: Wills Toyota - 3rd AVE
 Address: 318 Shoshone St. W.
 City: Twin Falls
 State: Idaho
 Zip Code: 83301
 Facility Contact: Randy Berry
 Title: Used Car Manager
 AIRS No.: 083-00196

Y Does this facility qualify for a general permit (i.e. concrete batch plant, hot-mix asphalt plant)? Y/N

Y Did this permit require engineering analysis? Y/N

N Is this a PSD permit Y/N (IDAPA 58.01.01.205.04)

Emissions Inventory			
Pollutant	Annual Emissions Increase (T/yr)	Annual Emissions Reduction (T/yr)	Annual Emissions Change (T/yr)
NO _x	0.0	0	0.0
SO ₂	0.0	0	0.0
CO	0.0	0	0.0
PM10	0.0	0	0.0
VOC	0.0	0	0.0
Total:	0.0	0	0.0
Fee Due	\$ 500.00		

Comments: PTC P-2019.0007, Project 62174